

The First Party Controversy

“The First Party did not save the world. They merely postponed its acceptance of truth. Their actions fractured necessary rites, <REDACTED>, and caused immeasurable suffering through false hope. Their disappearance was not mysterious—it was <REDACTED> does not punish. <REDACTED> outlasts.”

“Multiple independent town records confirm a cessation of undead activity for a period ranging from twelve to twenty years following the First Party’s intervention. Trade resumed. Birth rates increased. If this was not salvation, it was at least reprieve. One must ask: what force was strong enough to unravel that reprieve?”

“Scholar Ren: “You cannot reconcile the dates unless you accept extra-material displacement.”
Scholar Heth: “Fairy stories.”
Ren: “Then explain why three separate witnesses describe the same heroes

dying, decades apart."

Heth: "False memories. Cult interference."

Ren: "Or time."

“ "The catastrophe was not caused by malice, but by grammar. 'All those associated' is not a merciful phrase—it is a net. When the wish was spoken, the dead did not rise. They were remembered. And some things are too patient to forget."

“ "People argue whether the First Party failed or succeeded. Idiots. They did both. What matters is that when the world needed them again, they were gone. Heroes are not measured by victories, but by whether they are present for the second disaster."

“ "They saved us once."
"No, they only made it worse."
"Doesn't matter. They didn't come back."

"If history cannot agree on what they did, perhaps that is because the world itself has not decided whether to forgive them."

Revision #2

Created 2026-03-08 16:47:36 UTC by Admin

Updated 2026-03-08 17:09:53 UTC by Admin